UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/804,312	03/18/2004	Gary A. Freeman	04644-097002	7307	
26161 FISH & RICHA	7590 06/14/200 ARDSON PC	7	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022	2		MULLEN, KRISTEN DROESCH		
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3766		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

					Ľ		
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	`		
◆ Office Action Summary		10/804,312	2	FREEMAN, GARY A.			
		Examiner	•	Art Unit			
		7	esch Mullen	3766			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		•					
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	0 March 2007					
2a)⊠	a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except f	or formal matters, pro	osecution as to the	merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice und	ler Ex parte Qua	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	tion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· —	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10 and 11</u> is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1 and 8</u> is/are rejected.						
·	')⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-7 and 9</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election re	quirement.	•			
Applicat	ion Papers						
,—	The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2006				iner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachme	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	, (PTO_A13)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application			
rap	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/8/07</u> .		-, <u> </u>				

Application/Control Number: 10/804,312

Art Unit: 3766

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groenke et al. (6,125,299) in view of Halperin et al. (6,390,996).

Regarding claim 1, Groenke shows at least one first high-voltage defibrillation electrode (150A); at least one second high-voltage defibrillation electrode (150B) (Figs. 6-7); at least one manually operable control (200) mechanically connected with and in the vicinity of at least one of the first and second electrodes; and a resuscitation control unit (22) mechanically separate from the at least one manually operated control (200) electrically connected to the at least one manually operable control (200) and configured to provide resuscitation prompts to a rescuer based on use of the manually operable control by a rescuer (Col. 8, line 50-Col. 9, line 34).

Although Groenke fails to show the manually operable control is other than a force sensor, attention is directed to Halperin who teaches a similar device that utilizes an accelerometer in place of a force sensor. Halperin et al. teaches that measuring chest displacement with an accelerometer is advantageous compared to prior art force sensors since there is considerable variation in the compliance of patient's chests such that similar compression forces produce substantially different chest displacements in different patients (Col. 2, lines 44-53). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/804,312

Art Unit: 3766

invention was made to modify the device of Groenke by substituting an accelerometer for the force sensor as taught by Halperin since Halperin teaches that that measuring chest displacement with an accelerometer is advantageous compared to prior art force sensors since there is considerable variation in the compliance of patient's chests such that similar compression forces produce substantially different chest displacements in different patients.

Regarding claim 8, Groenke further shows a sternum electrode and an apex electrode (Figs. 6-7).

# Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/30/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The limitation "the manually operable control being operable by movements of the rescuer's hand or fingers" does not distinguish the structure of the Groenke as modified by Halperin device since the force sensor/accelerometer is operable by movements o the rescuer's hand or fingers when the rescuer is applying compressions to the patient.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 10-11 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 2-7, and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/804,312 Page 4

Art Unit: 3766

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kristen Droesch Mullen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4944. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:30 am-6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Layno can be reached on (571) 272-4949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

kdm

KRISTEN D. MULLEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Vister Broad Malles